

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/955,641	09/18/2001	Jack Zezhong Peng	384848001US	1777
25096	7590 05/06/2004		EXAMINER	
PERKINS COIE LLP			NGUYEN, HIEN N	
PATENT-SE	_		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. BOX 1247 SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247			2824	
			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/955,641	09/955,641 PENG, JACK ZEZHONG	
		Examiner	Art Unit	T
		Hien N Nguyen	2824	
The MAILI Period for Reply	NG DATE of this communic	cation appears on the cover si	heet with the correspondence a	ddress
THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time ma after SIX (6) MONTHS - If the period for reply sidentification - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC by be available under the provisions of 6 from the mailing date of this commu- specified above is less than thirty (30) is specified above, the maximum state the set or extended period for reply we	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimuutory period will apply and will expire SIX	um of thirty (30) days will be considered tim (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this scome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.
Status				
2a) ☐ This action 3) ☐ Since this a	application is in condition for	b) This action is non-final.	al matters, prosecution as to th 35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	ne merits is
Disposition of Claim	ıs			
4a) Of the a 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1- 7) ☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed. <u>5 and 15-18</u> is/are rejected is/are objected to.	9-31 is/are withdrawn from co		
Application Papers				
10) The drawing Applicant ma	ay not request that any object t drawing sheet(s) including	$\frac{2001}{2000}$ is/are: a) \square accepted tion to the drawing(s) be held in the correction is required if the d	or b) objected to by the Exa abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Irawing(s) is objected to. See 37 C ttached Office Action or form F	CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119			
a) All b) 1. Certii 2. Certii 3. Copie	Some * c) None of: fied copies of the priority of fied copies of the priority of es of the certified copies of cation from the Internation	for foreign priority under 35 U locuments have been received locuments have been received f the priority documents have that Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) for a list of the certified copic	ed. ed in Application No e been received in this Nationa)).	al Stage
Attachment(s)				
 Information Disclosure Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	s Cited (PTO-892) on's Patent Drawing Review (PT ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F ate 9/18/01 9/39/02 10/15/	O-948) Pa PTO/SB/08) 5) No	erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date tice of Informal Patent Application (PT	ГО-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	117/05, 2/13/03,101	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail	Date 20040501

Art Unit: 2824

DETAILED ACTION

In response regards to the Election/Restriction Remark and Amendment filed on 4/06/04 claims 1-5 and 15-18 are presented for examining.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-5 and 15-18 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 8-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,700,151. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant application claims are broader to encompass the issued patent above. For specific a breakdown is as followed:

Claims 1-2 of this instant application are rejected in regards of claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,700,151.

Claims 3-5 of this instant application are rejected in regards of claims 9-10 of ...

U.S. Patent No. 6,700,151.

Claims 15-16 of this instant application are rejected in regards of claim 8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,700,151.

Claims 17-18 of this instant application are rejected in regards of claim claims 9-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,700,151.

Conclusion

- 1. Claims 1-5 and 15-18 would be allowed if a proper Terminal Disclaimer is filed.
- 2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The primary reason for allowance is a programmable read only memory cell (and array) having a MOS field effect transistor and MOS data storage with different doped regions which are disclosed specifically in claims 1 and 15 are not found in the art of references.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hien N Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1879. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 9:30 AM to 7:00 PM..

Application/Control Number: 09/955,641

Art Unit: 2824

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Elms can be reached on (571) 272-1869. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

H. Nguyen May 03, 2004

Whengn
VANTHUNGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4